Fiscal Estimate - 2015 Session

☑ Original ☐ Updated	Corrected Supp	olemental						
LRB Number 15-2781/3	Introduction Number SB-2	252						
Description Utility aid payments for decommissioned or closed production plants								
Fiscal Effect								
Appropriations Reve	ease Existing enues Tease Existing enues To absorb within agreenues To absorb within agreenues To absorb within agreenues To absorb within agreenues							
Local: No Local Government Costs Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory 2. Decrease Costs Permissive Mandatory Districts Stope of Local Government Units Affected Towns Counties Counties Others Districts								
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS 20.835(1)(dm)								
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date						
DOR/ Robert Schmidt (608) 266-5773	Robert Schmidt (608) 266-5773	9/10/2015						

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOR 9/10/2015

LRB Number 15-2781/3	Introduction Number SE	3-252	Estimate Type	Original				
Description								
Utility aid payments for decommissioned or closed production plants								

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Under current law, utility aid payments to counties and municipalities for a decommissioned plant decrease from 100% to 0% in 20% increments over a period of five years. The phasedown for decommissioned plants only applies to nuclear and wind plants. Current law further reduces utility aid payments by property taxes paid by the plant to the municipality or county.

The bill would extend the phasedown of utility aid payments to all plant types and remove the subtraction for property taxes paid by a plant. The bill is first effective for payments made in 2015.

The overall fiscal effect depends on the number and timing of power plant closures and the taxes generated from such plants upon closure. In effect, the bill will increase utility aid payments to municipalities and counties for closed non-nuclear and non-wind plants (natural gas, coal, biomass, hydroelectric, solar). The removal of the property tax subtraction would increase utility aid payments to municipalities and counties for decommissioned nuclear and wind plants.

The nuclear plant in the Town of Carlton (Kewaunee County) is no longer in operation, and as a result is now subject to local property taxes. Town and county utility aid payments for the plant were \$357,000 and \$713,000 in 2014. The nuclear plant generated approximately \$8,000 and \$73,000 for town and county property taxes, respectively, on the plant's 2014 assessed value of \$10.3 million. The assessment for the Carlton plant increased to approximately \$457.7 million in 2015, which will significantly increase the plant's share of town and county property taxes on its 2015/16 property tax bills. Assuming no increase in 2014 levies, the plant's town and county tax bills will increase to \$63,000 and \$2.4 million, respectively. Under current law, this tax amount would decrease the utility aid payments to the town and county. The significant increase the county taxes paid by the power plant would entirely offset the county utility aid payment.

Under the bill, the property tax subtraction would not take place. This would result in an increase in 2015 utility aid payments of \$81,000 (\$8,000 town + \$73,000 county) for the Carlton nuclear plant. The 2016 payments would increase by \$491,000 (\$63,000 town + \$428,000 county) compared to current law.

Administrative costs can be absorbed by the department.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2015 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

X	Original	Updated		Corrected		Supplemental			
LRE	Number	15-2781/3		Introduction Num	ber	SB-252			
	Description Utility aid payments for decommissioned or closed production plants								
I. On	I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in								
annu	annualized fiscal effect):								
11 / 2 / 2	nualized Cos	10 min		Annualized Fis	cal Imr	pact on funds from:			
II. AI	inuanzeu Cos			Increased Costs	car nin	Decreased Costs			
A. St	ate Costs by	Category							
		- Salaries and Fringes		\$		\$			
(F1	E Position Ch	anges)							
Sta	te Operations	- Other Costs							
Lo	cal Assistance								
Aic	ls to Individuals	s or Organizations							
	TOTAL State (Costs by Category		\$		\$			
B. St	B. State Costs by Source of Funds								
GF	PR								
FE	D								
PR	O/PRS								
SE	G/SEG-S								
	III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, ets.)								
				Increased Rev		Decreased Rev			
GF	PR Taxes			\$		\$			
GF	PR Earned								
FE	D								
PF	RO/PRS								
SE	G/SEG-S								
	TOTAL State I	Revenues		\$		\$			
	NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT								
				<u>State</u>		<u>Local</u>			
NET	NET CHANGE IN COSTS		\$		\$				
NET	NET CHANGE IN REVENUE		\$see text		\$see text				
Age	Agency/Prepared By Au		ithorized Signature		Date				
DOF	DOR/ Robert Schmidt (608) 266-5773 Ro			obert Schmidt (608) 266-5773		9/10/2015			